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#### Abstract

. For $p$ prime and $k \geq 2$, let us define $G_{p}^{(k)}$ to be the digraph whose set of vertices is $\{0,1,2, \ldots, p-1\}$ such that there is a directed edge from a vertex $a$ to a vertex $b$ if $a^{k} \equiv b \bmod p$. We find a new way to decide if there is a cycle of a given length in a given graph $G_{p}^{(k)}$.


## Introduction.

Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and let $p$ be prime. Let us define $G_{p}^{(k)}$ to be the digraph whose set of vertices is $\{0,1,2, \ldots, p-1\}$ such that there is a directed edge from a vertex $a$ to a vertex $b$ if $a^{k} \equiv b \bmod p$.

This paper extends the results given in the works [6] by Somer and Křížek (which provides a way to determine whether there is a cycle of length $t$ in a given graph $G_{p}^{(2)}$ ), and [8] by Wilson (which considers $G_{p}^{(k)}$; see also [7] by Somer and Křížek). In this paper, we provide our own way to determine the existence of a cycle of given length in $G_{p}^{(k)}$. First, we examine the existence of length- $t$ cycles where $t$ is prime. Later on, we explore the case of cycles of length $u$ where $u$ is composite, and we conclude with a study of digraphs that admit some cycle lengths but do not allow others.

Now, we will introduce one of the key theorems of this paper; this is mentioned in the number theory book [4] by Niven, Zuckerman, and Montgomery. Here, $\phi$ stands for the Euler totient function.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $m=1,2,4, p^{\alpha}$ or $2 p^{\alpha}$, where $p$ is an odd prime and $\alpha$ is a positive integer. If $\operatorname{gcd}(a, m)=1$ then the congruence $x^{n} \equiv a \bmod m$ has $\operatorname{gcd}(n, \phi(m))$ solutions or no solution, according as

$$
a^{\phi(m) / \operatorname{gcd}(n, \phi(m))} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod m
$$

or not.

## On the existence of length- $t$ cycles given $t$ prime, and length- $u$ cycles given $u \geq 2$.

Based on the theorem in our introduction, we have the following corollaries, which are crucial in determining the existence of a length- $t$ cycle for $t$ prime.

Corollary 2. Let $p$ be a prime. The congruence $x^{n} \equiv 1 \bmod p h a s \operatorname{gcd}(n, p-$ 1) solutions.

Corollary 3. Let $p$ be a prime and let $k \geq 2$. The subgraph $G_{p}^{(k)} \backslash\{0\}$ has $\operatorname{gcd}(k-1, p-1)$ cycles of length 1 .

Since we are curious about the existence of length- $t$ cycles in $G_{p}^{(k)}$ given $t$ prime, we want to know if the following equations have any solutions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{k^{t}} & \equiv x \bmod p, \\
x^{k} & \not \equiv x \bmod p .
\end{aligned}
$$

By our two corollaries, the above equations are equivalent to:

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{t}-1, p-1\right)>\operatorname{gcd}(k-1, p-1) .
$$

Similarly, since we are also curious about the existence of length- $u$ cycles in $G_{p}^{(k)}$ given $u$ composite, we want to know if the following equations have any solutions (here, $u_{i}$ runs over the proper divisors of $u$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{k^{u}} & \equiv x \bmod p, \\
x^{k^{u_{1}}} & \equiv \equiv x \bmod p, \\
x^{k^{u_{2}}} & \equiv \equiv x \bmod p,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\vdots
$$

Once again, our corollaries tell us that the above equations are equivalent to:

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{u}-1, p-1\right)>\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{u_{i}}-1, p-1\right)
$$

for $u_{i}$ running over all proper divisors of $u$. So, we have the following results:
Theorem 4. Given $u \geq 2, k \geq 2$, and $p$ prime, there exists a length-u cycle in $G_{p}^{(k)}$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{u}-1, p-1\right)>\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{u^{\prime}}-1, p-1\right)$ for all proper divisors $u^{\prime}$ of $u$.

Remark. We note that Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 5.6 of [7], which also gives formulas for how many cycles exist of a given length; if $t$ is prime, for example, then the number of length- $t$ cycles is $\left(\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{t}-1, p-1\right)-\operatorname{gcd}(k-\right.$ $1, p-1)) / t$.

We also note the following theorem, which is a result of [3, pp. 230-231]. It is also a special case of a more general result in [8, pp. 232-233]. Another version with $k=2$ appeared in [6, Theorem 3.3].

Theorem 5. (Lucheta, Miller, Reiter) Let p be a prime. There exists a cycle of length $u$ in $G_{p}^{(k)}$ if and only if $u=\operatorname{ord}_{d} k$ for some divisor d of $p-1$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(d, k)=1$, where $\operatorname{ord}_{d} k$ denotes the multiplicative order of $k$ modulo $d$.

Here are four corollaries following from Theorems 4 and 5 that give us precise information on what cycle lengths are possible (or impossible) in $G_{p}^{(k)}$ for various primes $p$ and powers $k$ :

Corollary 6. Fix a prime $t$. Given any integer $k \geq 2$, there are infinitely many primes $p$ such that $G_{p}^{(k)}$ has a length-t cycle. Moreover, $G_{p}^{(k)}$ contains a 1-cycle for all primes $p$;

Corollary 7. Fix an integer $u \geq 2$. Given any integer $k \geq 2$, there are infinitely many primes $p$ such that $G_{p}^{(k)}$ does not have a length-u cycle;

Corollary 8. Fix an integer $u \geq 2$. Let $p=2^{2^{n}}+1$ be a Fermat prime, where $n \geq 0$. The possible cycle lengths in $G_{p}^{(k)}$ for $p$ a Fermat prime are very limited.

1. There are never any odd-length cycles (aside from the length-1 cycles),
2. If $k$ is even, there are no cycles at all (aside from the length-1 cycles) in $G_{p}^{(k)}$,
3. If $k$ is odd and $u$ is even, $G_{p}^{(k)}$ contains a length-u cycle if and only if $u \mid \operatorname{ord}_{p-1} k$. Moreover, $\operatorname{ord}_{p-1} k \mid 2^{2^{n}-2}$ if $n \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p-1} k \mid 2^{2^{n}-1}$ if $n=0$ or 1 .

Corollary 9. Fix an integer $u \geq 2$, and let $p$ be prime. Then, there are infinitely many integer $k$ 's such that $G_{p}^{(k)}$ contains no length-u cycle.

Proof of Corollary 6. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(1, \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} k^{i}\right)=1$, then by Dirichlet's Theorem on the infinitude of primes in arithmetic progressions we know that there are infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p \equiv 1 \bmod \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} k^{i}$. Now given such a prime $p$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left((k-1) \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} k^{i}, p-1\right) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} k^{i}$, or $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{t}-1, p-1\right) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} k^{i}$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{gcd}(k-1, p-1) \leq k-1$. Since it is not hard to see that $k-1<\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} k^{i}$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{t}-1, p-1\right)>\operatorname{gcd}(k-1, p-1)$. Thus, by Theorem 4, we can conclude that there are infinitely many primes $p$ such that $G_{p}^{(k)}$ has a length- $t$ cycle, as desired. Finally, the last assertion of our statement holds, since both 0 and 1 are clearly vertices in 1-cycles.

Proof of Corollary 7. Let $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots$ be the odd primes in order of size, and let $q_{r}$ be the largest prime less than or equal to $k^{u}$; since both $u$ and $k$ are at least two, then $q_{r}$ is at least three. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem and Dirichlet's Theorem, there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \\
& p \equiv 2 \bmod q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first line implies that $2 \mid p-1$ but $4 \nmid p-1$, while the second line implies that $p-1$ is relatively prime to $q_{1} q_{2} \ldots q_{r}$. Now suppose that $G_{p}^{(k)}$ actually does have a length- $u$ cycle for $u \geq 2$. It follows from Theorem 5 that $u=$ $\operatorname{ord}_{d} k$ for some divisor $d>1$ of $p-1$ (note that if $d=1$ then this would imply $u=1$, a contradiction), with $d$ relatively prime to $k$. Let us consider the options, keeping in mind what we just wrote about $p-1$. If $k$ is odd, then either $d=2$ or $d \geq q_{r+1}$, But if $d=2$ then $u=\operatorname{ord}_{2} k=1$ which is a contradiction. Hence, our only option is $d \geq q_{r+1}$. If $k$ is even, then $d$ must be odd and so again our only option is $d \geq q_{r+1}$. But with $d \geq q_{r+1}$, then since $1<k^{u}<q_{r+1}$ we have that $u$ is not, in fact, the order of $k \bmod d$, which contradicts our statement earlier that $u=\operatorname{ord}_{d} k$. Hence, $G_{p}^{(k)}$ does not have a length- $u$ cycle for $u \geq 2$.

Before we move on to the next proof, we need to establish this useful result.

Lemma 10. For $k$ odd and $a \geq 2$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{a+1}} k$ is either equal to $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{a}} k$ or to $2 \operatorname{ord}_{2^{a}} k$.
Proof of Lemma 10. If we let $w=\operatorname{ord}_{2^{a}} k$, then we know that $2^{a} \mid k^{w}-1$. Consider $k^{2 w}-1=\left(k^{w}-1\right)\left(k^{w}+1\right)$. We know that $2^{a}$ divides $k^{w}-1$ and since $k$ is odd then 2 divides $k^{w}+1$, so we know $2^{a+1}$ divides $k^{2 w}-1$. Hence, $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{a+1}} k$ divides $2 w$, but $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{a+1}} k$ is at least $w$, and so we conclude that $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{a+1}} k$ is either $w$ or $2 w$, as desired.

We are now ready for the following:
Proof of Corollary 8. Let $p$ be the Fermat prime $2^{2^{n}}+1$ where $n \geq 0$, so $p-1=2^{2^{n}}$. Now, suppose that $G_{p}^{(k)}$ contains a cycle of length $u \geq 2$. Then by Theorem 5, $u=\operatorname{ord}_{d} k$ for some divisor $d$ of $p-1=2^{2^{n}}$. By Euler's generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem, this implies $u \mid \phi(d)$, but $d$ is a power of 2 and so (thanks to the well-known formulas for Euler's phi function) this implies $u$ is as well. We also have (from Theorem 5) that $d$ and $k$ are relatively prime; since $u \mid d$ then we know $u$ and $k$ are relatively prime as well. With this in mind, let us consider the possibilities for $u$ and $k$. We can not have $u \geq 2$ be an odd integer, as this contradicts $u \geq 2$ being a power of 2 ; hence, $G_{p}^{(k)}$ never contains a cycles of length $u \geq 2$ for $u$ odd. We also can not have $u$ and $k$ both be even integers, as this contradicts $u$ and $k$ being relatively prime; hence, $G_{p}^{(k)}$ contains no cycles of length $u$ for $u$ and $k$ both even.

The only option left is to have $u \geq 2$ even and $k \geq 2$ odd. Theorem 5 tells us that we have a length- $u$ cycle iff $u=\operatorname{ord}_{d} k$ for some divisor $d$ of $p-1$; let us establish that this is equivalent to $u \mid \operatorname{ord}_{p-1} k$. For the first Fermat prime $p=2^{2^{n}}+1=3$, corresponding to $n=0$, it is easy to verify that there are no even-length cycles in $G_{3}^{(k)}$ because this graph contains only the vertices $\{0,1,2\}$; likewise, $\operatorname{ord}_{p-1} k=1$ and this admits no even divisors. For the next Fermat prime $p=2^{2^{n}}+1=5$, corresponding to $n=1$, similar calculations reveal that we can have even length- $u$ cycles only for $k \equiv 3 \bmod$ 4 and for $u=2$, in which case $u$ is indeed an even divisor of $2=\operatorname{ord}_{p-1} k$ (and vice versa). For both those two cases (namely, for $p=2^{2^{n}}+1$ with $n=0$ or 1 ), it is easy to check that $\operatorname{ord}_{p-1} k \mid 2^{2^{n}-1}$, as desired.

It remains to consider the other Fermat primes $p=2^{2^{n}}+1$ for $n \geq 2$. If $u=\operatorname{ord}_{d} k$ for some divisor $d$ of $p-1=2^{2^{n}}$, then (recalling that $u$ and $d$ and
$p-1$ are all powers of 2 ) it is certainly true that $u \mid \operatorname{ord}_{p-1} k$ as $\operatorname{ord}_{d} k$ can not be greater than $\operatorname{ord}_{p-1} k$ and both are powers of 2 . For the other direction, suppose $u \mid \operatorname{ord}_{p-1} k$, and let us show that $u=\operatorname{ord}_{d} k$ for some divisor $d$ of $p-1$. Starting with 1 as the order of $k \bmod 2$, we imagine finding the orders of $k \bmod 2^{2}, \bmod 2^{3}, \bmod 2^{4}$, and so on, up to $\bmod 2^{2^{n}}$. Lemma 10 tells us that at each step, the order of $k$ either stays the same or doubles. At the last step in this sequence (modulo $2^{2^{n}}$ ) the order of $k$ is a multiple of $u$. Hence, at some step along the way (say, when our modulus is $2^{b}$ for $b \leq 2^{n}$ ) we know that the order of $k \bmod 2^{b}$ is equal to $u$. Hence, we let $d=2^{b}$ and we have that $u=\operatorname{ord}_{d} k$ for $d$ a divisor of $p-1$, as desired.

Finally, we recall from [2, p. 160] that the multiplicative group of units modulo $2^{2^{n}}$, commonly written $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{2^{n}}}\right)^{*}$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{2^{n}-2}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ for $n \geq 2$. Hence, the order of any odd number $k$ modulo $p-1$ will be a divisor of $2^{2^{n}-2}$, as desired.

Proof of Corollary 9. Note that if $p$ is a Fermat prime, then by Corollary 8 we can simply choose $k$ to be any even number. Of course, for $p=2$ the conclusion is trivial. For the more general case, we choose $k \geq 2$ to be an integer equivalent to $1 \bmod p-1$. There are clearly infinitely many such $k$. Note that $\operatorname{gcd}(k, p-1)=1$ and also $k \equiv 1 \bmod d$ for any divisor $d$ of $p-1$. Thus, $\operatorname{ord}_{d} k=1$ for any divisor $d$ of $p-1$ and so by Theorem 5 we know $G_{p}^{(k)}$ has no $u$-cycles for any $u \geq 2$.

## On the existence of cycles of different lengths in the same digraph.

We now consider cycles of composite length, and we show that the existence of certain cycles imply the existence of other, longer cycles.

Theorem 11. Let $u=\operatorname{lcm}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ where $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are positive integers. If $G_{p}^{(k)}$ contains cycles of length $u_{1}$ and length $u_{2}$ respectively, then $G_{p}^{(k)}$ also contains a cycle of length $u$.

Proof. Suppose in $G_{p}^{(k)}$, there exist cycles of length $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. By Theorem 5 , we know that there exist $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ such that $d_{1}\left|(p-1), d_{2}\right|(p-1)$ and $u_{1}=\operatorname{ord}_{d_{1}} k, u_{2}=\operatorname{ord}_{d_{2}} k$. Also, let $d=\operatorname{lcm}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ and $u=\operatorname{lcm}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$. Since $d_{1} \mid\left(k^{u_{1}}-1\right)$ and $u_{1} \mid u$, we have $d_{1} \mid\left(k^{u}-1\right)$. By the same reasoning, $d_{2} \mid\left(k^{u}-1\right)$. Therefore, $d \mid\left(k^{u}-1\right)$; i.e., $k^{u} \equiv 1 \bmod d . \operatorname{So}, \operatorname{gcd}(d, k)=1$.

Assume that there exists $u^{\prime} \leq u$ such that $k^{u^{\prime}} \equiv 1 \bmod d$. So, $k^{u^{\prime}} \equiv 1 \bmod d_{1}$ and $k^{u^{\prime}} \equiv 1 \bmod d_{2}$. Since $u_{1}$ is the order of $k \bmod d_{1}$, therefore $u_{1} \mid u^{\prime}$. Likewise, $u_{2} \mid u^{\prime}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{lcm}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \mid u^{\prime}$, i.e., $u \mid u^{\prime}$; so $u \leq u^{\prime}$. By assumption we know that $u^{\prime} \leq u$; thus, $u=u^{\prime}$. So, the order of $k \bmod d$ is $u$. Since $d=\operatorname{lcm}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$, we have $d \mid(p-1)$. So again by Theorem 5 , we know there is a length- $u$ cycle in $G_{p}^{(k)}$.

Corollary 12. Let $u=\operatorname{lcm}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \cdots, u_{n}\right)$, where $u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{n}$ are positive integers. If $G_{p}^{(k)}$ contains a cycle of length $u_{i}$ for each $i$, then $G_{p}^{(k)}$ also contains a cycle of length $u$.

It turns out that for even $k$, the opposite direction is not always true. In a few pages we present a digraph $G_{p}^{(k)}$ that has a 12-cycle and a 1-cycle but no cycles of length $2,3,4$, or 6 . The following result indicates that this is hardly an isolated occurrence.

Theorem 13. Let $u$ be a composite number and let $k$ be even.

1. If $k \neq 2$ or $u \neq 6$, then there exists infinitely many primes $p$ such that in $G_{p}^{(k)}$, there exists a length-u cycle but no length- $u^{\prime}$ cycles in which $u^{\prime} \geq 2$ is a positive divisor of $u$.
2. For the case $k=2$ and $u=6$, suppose for some prime $p$ that $G_{p}^{(2)}$ has a cycle of length 6 . Then there must also exist a cycle of either length 2 or 3 in $G_{p}^{(2)}$; furthermore, if $G_{p}^{(2)}$ has cycles of length 6 and 3 then it must also have a cycle of length 2. The smallest prime $p$ such that $G_{p}^{(2)}$ has both a length-6 and a length-2 cycle is $p=19$; in this case, though, $G_{19}^{(2)}$ does not have a length-3 cycle. The smallest prime $p$ such that $G_{p}^{(2)}$ has cycles of length 2, 3, and 6 is $p=43$.

Before we start our proof, we need to introduce a very useful lemma proved independently by Bang [1] and Zsigmondy [9], as seen in a recent paper by Roitman [5]:

Lemma 14 (Bang and Zsigmondy). Let $k$ and $u$ be integers greater than 1. There exists a prime divisor $q$ of $k^{u}-1$ such that $q$ does not divide $k^{j}-1$ for all $j$ where $0<j<u$, except exactly in the following cases:

1. $k=2^{s}-1$ where $s \geq 2$, and $u=2$;
2. $k=2$ and $u=6$.

Proof of Theorem 13. First, let us discuss the case where $k=2$ and $u=6$; that is, we suppose there exists a length-6 cycle in $G_{p}^{(2)}$. By Theorem 4, we must have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{6}-1, p-1\right)>1$. Now since $2^{6}-1=63$, then $p-1$ must be divisible by either 7 or 3 . Since $2^{3}-1$ is 7 and of course $2^{2}-1$ is 3 , we conclude that either $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{3}-1, p-1\right)>1$ or $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{2}-1, p-1\right)>1$ and hence (again by Theorem 4) we must have a cycle of length 3 or length 2 . Now suppose (for the sake of argument) that $G_{p}^{(2)}$ happens to have both a length- 6 cycle and a length- 3 cycle but no length- 2 cycle. If we let $A_{i}$ represent the number of cycles of length $i$ in the graph of $G_{p}^{(2)}$, then Theorem 5.6 of [7] tells us:

$$
A_{6}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\operatorname{gcd}(p-1,63)-A_{1}-2 A_{2}-3 A_{3}\right)
$$

Clearly, $A_{1}=1$ since the only non-trivial solution to $x^{2} \equiv x \bmod p$ is $x=1$. We are assuming that $A_{2}=0$ and that $A_{3}$ and $A_{6}$ are both positive, and so the above equation becomes

$$
A_{6}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\operatorname{gcd}(p-1,63)-1-3 A_{3}\right)
$$

Since $A_{3}>0$, then for $A_{6}$ to be a non-zero integer we must have $\operatorname{gcd}(p-1,63)$ be either 9,21 , or 63 , all equivalent to $3 \bmod 6$. But $1+3 A_{3}$ will be equivalent to 1 or $4 \bmod 6$, and the difference of these two expressions can never be $0 \bmod 6$, which contradicts $A_{6}$ being an integer. Hence, the presence of a length- 6 cycle and a length- 3 cycle really does force there to be a length- 2 cycle.

By inspection, $p=19$ is the smallest prime $p$ such that $G_{p}^{(2)}$ has a 6 cycle and a 2 -cycle; it is easily seen that it does not have a 3 -cycle. Also by inspection, $p=43$ is the smallest prime $p$ such that $G_{p}^{(2)}$ has a 6 -cycle, a 2 -cycle, and a 3-cycle. See below for the graph of $G_{19}^{(2)}$.

Now if $k \neq 2$ or $u \neq 6$, then in order to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that there are infinitely many primes $p$ such that for the graph $G_{p}^{(k)}$, the following conditions hold: For $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots$ non-trivial proper divisors of $u$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{u}-1, p-1\right) & >1, \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{u_{1}}-1, p-1\right) & =1, \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{u_{2}}-1, p-1\right) & =1,
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1: The digraph $G_{19}^{(2)}$ has a 6 -cycle and 2-cycle but no 3 -cycle.
(Note that by Corollaries 2 and 3, these equations will also imply that the only cycles of length 1 in $G_{p}^{(k)}$ will be the 1 -cycle with vertex 0 and the 1-cycle with vertex 1.) By Lemma 14, we know that there exists a prime divisor $q \mid k^{u}-1$ such that $q \nmid k^{j}-1$ for $0<j<u$. Now, consider the following set of equivalence relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
p-1 & \equiv 0 \bmod q,  \tag{1}\\
p-1 & \equiv 1 \bmod s, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s=\operatorname{lcm}\left(k^{u_{1}}-1, k^{u_{2}}-1, \cdots\right)$. Since $q$ is prime, it is obvious that $q \nmid s$ and therefore we can apply the Chinese Reminder Theorem to get:

$$
p-1 \equiv q\left[q^{-1}\right]_{s} \bmod q s
$$

where $\left[q^{-1}\right]_{s}$ is the unique positive integer less than $s$ that is the inverse of $q$ modulo $s$.

Thus, $p=\left(q\left[q^{-1}\right]_{s}+1\right)+q s \cdot n$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $q\left[q^{-1}\right]_{s} \equiv 1 \bmod s$, we know that $q\left[q^{-1}\right]_{s}+1 \equiv 2 \bmod s$, so $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q\left[q^{-1}\right]_{s}+1, s\right) \leq 2$. Now $k$ is even, so $s$ is odd, so we know $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q\left[q^{-1}\right]_{s}+1, s\right)=1$. On the other hand, it is obvious that $q \nmid q\left[q^{-1}\right]_{s}+1$, therefore $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q\left[q^{-1}\right]_{s}+1, q s\right)=1$. Thus, by Dirichlet's Theorem, there are infinitely many prime $p$ 's of the form $p=\left(q\left[q^{-1}\right]_{s}+1\right)+q s \cdot n$, as desired.

Now, let us do some examples to illustrate the methods given above.
Example: For $p$ a prime, $p \equiv 11 \bmod 15$, then $G_{p}^{(2)}$ always has a 4-cycle but never has a 2 -cycle. This can be shown via the methods of the above proof with $k=2, u=4$, and $u_{1}=2$, so $q=5$ and $s=3$. The two smallest primes $p$ of this type are 11 and 41 . The digraph for $G_{11}^{(2)}$ is given on the following page (Figure 2).

Example: Likewise, using $k=2, u=9$, and $u_{1}=3$, we can show that for $p$ a prime equivalent to $366 \bmod 511$, then $G_{p}^{(2)}$ always has a 9 -cycle but never has a 3 -cycle. The smallest prime equivalent to $366 \bmod 511$ is 877 , and a partial digraph for $G_{877}^{(2)}$ is given on the following page (Figure 3).

Example: Finally, using $k=2, u=12$, and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$ equal to $\{2,3,4,6\}$, then the techniques of our proof of Theorem 13 show that for $p$ a prime, $p \equiv 1262 \bmod 4095$, then $G_{p}^{(2)}$ always has a 12 -cycle but never has


Figure 2: The digraph $G_{11}^{(2)}$ has a 4-cycle but no 2-cycle.
cycles of length $2,3,4$, or 6 . The smallest $p$ in this equivalence class is 21737. (We note that this is the smallest prime that arises from the technique of Theorem 13 , but it is not the smallest prime $p$ such that $G_{p}^{(2)}$ has a cycle of length 12 but none of lengths $2,3,4$, or 6 ; experimentation shows that the first such prime would be 53 , not 21737 . We will explain this further in a moment.)

One problem with the above examples (all of which arise from the techniques of Theorem 13) is that while they guarantee an infinite list of primes that satisfy the given requirements, it is not necessarily a complete list. For example, suppose we want to find all primes $p$ such that for $G_{p}^{(2)}$, we have cycles of length 12 , but no cycles of length $2,3,4$, or 6 . By Example 3, we know that any prime of the form $p \equiv 1262 \bmod 4095$ will certainly work (and the first prime in this list is 21737). But as mentioned above, $p=53$ works just fine as well. Let us see if we can demonstrate how to find all such primes $p$ such that the digraphs $G_{p}^{(2)}$ will have cycles of length 12 but not length 2, 3,4 , or 6 .


Figure 3: The digraph $G_{877}^{(2)}$ has eight components of forms $[\mathrm{A}]$ and [B] each, and just 1 component of forms [C], [D], and [E] respectively. In particular, it has a 9 -cycle but no 3 -cycle.

In order to find such $p$ 's, we need

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{12}-1, p-1\right) & >1  \tag{3}\\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{6}-1, p-1\right) & =1  \tag{4}\\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{4}-1, p-1\right) & =1  \tag{5}\\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{3}-1, p-1\right) & =1  \tag{6}\\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{2}-1, p-1\right) & =1  \tag{7}\\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{1}-1, p-1\right) & =1 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 14, we know there exists a prime divisor $q$ such that $q \mid 2^{12}-1$ but $q \nmid 2^{i}-1$ where $i \in\{2,3,4,6\}$, and a brief calculation shows us that $q=13$. Therefore, we have $13 \mid \operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{12}-1, p-1\right)$ and so it follows that $p-1=13 n$, or $p=13 n+1$. In order for (4), (5), (6), and (7) to hold, we must make sure that $n$ does not contain any proper divisor of $\left(2^{i}-1\right)$ where $i \in\{2,3,4,6\}$; that is, 3,5 , and 7 must not divide $n$. So, a complete list of primes $p$ can be written as a set $\{p$ is prime : $p=13 n+1$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $3,5,7 \nmid n\}$. The smallest $p$ is indeed 53 .

If we glance at equations (3) through (8), we might wonder if we can modify them to give us more liberty in deciding what cycles we want to have and not have in our $G_{p}^{(k)}$. Suppose we want to change the above example to
have a digraph with cycles of length 12 and 2 , but no other cycles of length 3,4 , or 6 . By Theorem 4, we need to start with the following:

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{12}-1, p-1\right)>\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{2}-1, p-1\right)>1
$$

Since $2^{2}-1 \mid 2^{4}-1$ and $2^{2}-1 \mid 2^{6}-1$, then we know that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{4}-1, p-1\right)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{6}-1, p-1\right)$ will both be at least as large as $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{2}-1, p-1\right)$, but to prevent $G_{p}^{(2)}$ from having cycles of length 4 or length 6 , we need them to be no larger than $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{2}-1, p-1\right)$. Finally, to avoid any 3 -cycles, we would like $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{3}-1, p-1\right)=1$. We can satisfy all these requirements if we are able to establish the following six equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{12}-1, p-1\right) & =q_{2} q_{12}  \tag{9}\\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{6}-1, p-1\right) & =q_{2}  \tag{10}\\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{4}-1, p-1\right) & =q_{2}  \tag{11}\\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{2}-1, p-1\right) & =q_{2}  \tag{12}\\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{3}-1, p-1\right) & =1  \tag{13}\\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{1}-1, p-1\right) & =1 \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q_{2}$ and $q_{12}$ are both primes. (Note the similarity between these six equations and the ones given earlier in equations (3) through (8).)

Fortunately, this is indeed possible. Lemma 14 guarantees that we can find appropriate primes $q_{2}$ and $q_{12}$; our choices here will be $q_{2}=3$ and $q_{12}=13$. We also need to ensure that $p-1$ does not contain any other primes that might also appear in $2^{k}-1$ as $k$ runs over the divisors of 12 . This can be satisfied by restricting ourselves to the set $\{p$ is prime : $p=39 n+1$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $3,5,7 \nmid n\}$. It turns out the smallest such $p$ is 79 .

Naturally, we seek to generalize this technique, and the following theorem gives the appropriate conditions in which this can be done.

Theorem 15. Let $u \geq 4$ be any composite number, let $k \geq 2$, and let $u^{\prime} \geq 2$ be a proper divisor of $u$. So long as we do not have either $k=2$ and $u^{\prime}=6$, or $k=2$ and $u=6$ and $u^{\prime}=3$, then there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $G_{p}^{(k)}$ has both a u-cycle and a $u^{\prime}$-cycle but has no $w$-cycle, where $w$ is any other non-trivial proper divisor of $u$.

Remark. The two restrictions in the above theorem are thanks to Theorem 13 , which tells us that every digraph $G_{p}^{(2)}$ which contains a 6 -cycle will also
contain either a 2 -cycle or 3 -cycle, and that if it contains a 6 -cycle and 3 -cycle then it must also have a 2 -cycle.

Proof of Theorem 15. We begin by considering the case where $k$ is even and different from 2. This avoids the two exceptions to Lemma 14, and so we know that there exist two separate primes $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ such that $q \mid k^{u}-1$ but $q \nmid k^{w}-1$ for all $w<u$, and $q^{\prime} \mid k^{u^{\prime}}-1$ but $q^{\prime} \nmid k^{w}-1$ for all $w<u^{\prime}$. Since $k$ is even, then the primes $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ are necessarily odd. We want to set up a system similar to the ones in equations (9) through (14); in this context, our system will be the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{u}-1, p-1\right)=q q^{\prime}, & \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{y}-1, p-1\right)=q^{\prime} & \text { if } y<u \text { and } y \text { divisible by } u^{\prime}, \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{z}-1, p-1\right)=1 & \text { if } z<u \text { and } z \text { not divisible by } u^{\prime} . \tag{17}
\end{array}
$$

(Here, $y$ and $z$ run over the proper divisors of $u$.) These three conditions, along with Theorem 4, would guarantee the existence of a cycle of length $u$ and of length $u^{\prime}$ and would prohibit any cycles of length $w$ for $w$ any other non-trivial divisor of $u$. It remains to show that there are infinitely many such primes $p$ that satisfy (15), (16), and (17). Fortunately, this is not too hard. Let $Q$ be the product of all the primes other than $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ that divide $k^{u}-1$. Since neither $q$ nor $q^{\prime}$ divide $k^{1}-1$ and since $k>2$ then there is at least one such prime, and since $k$ is even then all such primes in $Q$ are odd primes. If we now require the following

$$
\begin{align*}
& p-1 \equiv q q^{\prime} \bmod \left(q q^{\prime}\right)^{2}  \tag{18}\\
& p-1 \equiv 1 \bmod Q \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

then we are guaranteed (15), (16), and (17), as we now briefly demonstrate.

- To begin with, note that (18) tells us that $q q^{\prime}$ divides into $p-1$, but no higher power of $q$ or $q^{\prime}$ does so. Also, (19) tells us that no other prime $\rho$ that divides into $Q$ will also divide into $p-1$. Hence, the gcd's in equations (15) through (17) must be either $1, q, q^{\prime}$, or $q q^{\prime}$.
- To establish equation (15), we note that by definition both $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ divide into $k^{u}-1$.
- For (16), we note that $q^{\prime}$ divides into $k^{u^{\prime}}-1$ which divides into $k^{y}-1$ for $y$ divisible by $u^{\prime}$, and that $q$ does not divide into any $k^{y}-1$ for $y<u$.
- As for (17), note that $k^{z}-1$ is not divisible by $q$ for any $z<u$. If $k^{z}-1$ was divisible by $q^{\prime}$, then $q^{\prime}$ would divide the gcd of $k^{z}-1$ and $k^{u^{\prime}}-1$. This gcd is $k^{d}-1$ where $d=\operatorname{gcd}\left(z, u^{\prime}\right)$ and since $z$ is not divisible by $u^{\prime}$ then we know $d<u^{\prime}$, but this contradicts our definition of $q^{\prime}$. Hence, $k^{z}-1$ is not divisible by either $q$ or $q^{\prime}$ and so we have established (17).

We can now apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem to write (18) and (19) as $p-1 \equiv A \bmod Q\left(q q^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ for some integer $A$, which implies

$$
p \equiv 1+A \bmod Q\left(q q^{\prime}\right)^{2}
$$

Are we now able to apply Dirichlet's theorem to claim that there are infinitely many primes that satisfy the above equivalence? Almost! We need only ensure that $1+A$ is relatively prime to $Q\left(q q^{\prime}\right)^{2}$. Since (18) tells us that $A \equiv 0 \bmod q$, then $A+1 \equiv 1 \bmod q$, and the same holds for $q^{\prime}$. Hence, $A+1$ is relatively prime to $q$ and to $q^{\prime}$. Now let $\rho$ be one of the primes that divides $Q$. We know from (19) that $A \equiv 1 \bmod \rho$, which means $A+1 \equiv 2$ $\bmod \rho$, but of course $\rho$ is an odd prime, so $A+1$ is relatively prime to $\rho$. We conclude that $A+1$ is relatively prime to $Q\left(q q^{\prime}\right)^{2}$, and so we can apply Dirichet's theorem to complete the proof (for this case where $k$ even and $k>2$ ).

Next, we consider $k=2, u=6$, and $u^{\prime}=2$. This is a very specific case, and if we set $p \equiv 19 \bmod 63$ to be prime, it is easy to verify that all four of these equations are satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{6}-1, p-1\right)=9  \tag{20}\\
& \operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{2}-1, p-1\right)=3  \tag{21}\\
& \operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{3}-1, p-1\right)=1  \tag{22}\\
& \operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{1}-1, p-1\right)=1 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Naturally, there are infinitely such primes $p$ (the first one is $p=19$ ) and Theorem 4 tells us that $G_{p}^{(2)}$ will have a 6 -cycle and a 2 -cycle but never a 3 -cycle.

Next, consider $k=2$ with neither $u$ nor $u^{\prime}$ equal to 6 . Since this avoids the exceptions to Lemma 14, then as before we can find the two separate primes $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ such that $q \mid k^{u}-1$ but $q \nmid k^{w}-1$ for all $w<u$, and $q^{\prime} \mid k^{u^{\prime}}-1$ but $q^{\prime} \nmid k^{w}-1$ for all $w<u^{\prime}$. We would like to define $Q$ to be the product of all primes $\rho$ different from $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ that divide $2^{u}-1$, but it is possible that no such primes $\rho$ exist (consider, for example, $q=73$ a factor of $2^{9}-1$, and $q^{\prime}=7$ a factor of $2^{3}-1$ : there are no other prime factors of $2^{9}-1$ ). If this is the case, simply set $Q=1$ and proceed as before.

Next, consider when $k>2$ is odd and we do not have $u^{\prime}=2$ and $k=2^{s}-1$ with $s \geq 2$. Lemma 14 gives us the primes $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ as before, and since $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ do not divide $k^{1}-1$ (by definition) then both $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ are odd primes. However, in our earlier work, equations (15) through (17) depended on some of the equations $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{z}-1, p-1\right)$ being equal to 1 , but now that $k^{z}-1$ is even then this is no longer possible. Instead, we will ask that $p \equiv 3 \bmod$ 4 (which will mean that $p-1$ is divisible by 2 and not 4 ), and we seek to establish the following system:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{u}-1, p-1\right)=2 q q^{\prime}, & \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{y}-1, p-1\right)=2 q^{\prime} & \text { if } y<u \text { and } y \text { divisible by } u^{\prime}, \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{z}-1, p-1\right)=2 & \text { if } z<u \text { and } z \text { not divisible by } u^{\prime} . \tag{26}
\end{array}
$$

By Theorem 4 this will be sufficient to create our desired digraph $G_{p}^{(k)}$. But can we can find primes $p$ that satisfy (24), (25), and (26)? Of course! Let $Q$ be the product of all the odd primes other than $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ that divide $k^{u}-1$, with the understanding that if no such primes exist then $Q=1$. If we now require the following

$$
\begin{align*}
p-1 & \equiv q q^{\prime} \bmod \left(q q^{\prime}\right)^{2}  \tag{27}\\
p-1 & \equiv 1 \bmod Q  \tag{28}\\
p-1 & \equiv 2 \bmod 4 \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

then we are guaranteed (24), (25), and (26), as we now briefly demonstrate.

- As seen earlier, note that (27) tells us that $q q^{\prime}$ divides into $p-1$, but no higher power of $q$ or $q^{\prime}$ does so. Also, (28) tells us that no other prime $\rho$ that divides into $Q$ will also divide into $p-1$. And, (29) guarantees
that $2 \mid p-1$ but 4 does not. These observations, along with $k-1$ being even, tell us that the gcd's in equations (24) through (26) must be either $2,2 q, 2 q^{\prime}$, or $2 q q^{\prime}$.
- To establish equation (24), we note that both $p-1$ and $k^{u}-1$ are divisible by $q$ and $q^{\prime}$.
- For (25), we note that $q^{\prime}$ divides into $k^{u^{\prime}}-1$ which divides into $k^{y}-1$ for $y$ divisible by $u^{\prime}$, and that $q$ does not divide into any $k^{y}-1$ for $y<u$. This is identical to our proof for (16).
- Likewise, (26) is proved the same way as (17).

As before, we can now use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to write $p=1+A$ $\bmod 4 Q\left(q q^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ for some appropriate $A$, and it is easy to show that $1+A$ and $4 Q\left(q q^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ are relatively prime, thus allowing us to finish the proof by using Dirichlet's Theorem.

The very last case to consider is when $k=2^{s}-1$ for $s \geq 2$, and $u^{\prime}=2$. The issue here is that $k-1$ and $k^{u^{\prime}}-1$ will have exactly the same prime divisors (just to different powers) so we can not find an appropriate prime $q^{\prime}$ as we did earlier, where $q^{\prime}$ was supposed to divide $k^{u^{\prime}}-1$ but not $k-1$. Instead, we have to proceed as follows. First, choose a prime $q$ such that $q \mid k^{u}-1$ but $q \nmid k^{w}-1$ for all $w<u$. Note that $q$ is necessarily odd. We now seek to establish the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{u}-1, p-1\right)=4 q, & \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{y}-1, p-1\right)=4 & \text { if } y<u \text { and } y \text { divisible by } 2, \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{z}-1, p-1\right)=2 & \text { if } z<u \text { and } z \text { not divisible by } 2 . \tag{32}
\end{array}
$$

To do this, we let $Q$ be the (possibly empty) product of all the odd primes other than $q$ that divide into $k^{u}-1$, and we require the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p-1 \equiv q \bmod q^{2}  \tag{33}\\
& p-1 \equiv 1 \bmod Q  \tag{34}\\
& p-1 \equiv 4 \bmod 8 \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Once more, we can easily show that (33), (34), and (35) imply (30), (31), and (32)

- Equations (33), (34), and (35) imply that $p-1$ is divisible by $q$ but not $q^{2}$, by 4 but not 8 , and by no other prime factor $\rho$ of $k^{u}-1$. Keeping in mind that $k$ is odd, we see that the gcd's in equations (31) and (32) must be either 2 or 4 , and in (30) we must have either $2 q$ or $4 q$.
- To establish that equation (30) is equal to $4 q$ and not $2 q$, we note that $k^{u}-1$ is divisible by $k^{2}-1$ which (since $k=2^{s}-1$ ) is divisible by 4 .
- For (31), we note again that $k^{u}-1$ is divisible by 4 .
- Finally, for $z$ odd, then $k^{z}-1$ factors as $(k-1)\left(k^{z-1}+k^{z-2}+\cdots+1\right)$. The first expression is $k-1=2^{s}-2$, divisible by 2 but not 4 . The second expression is the sum of an odd number of odd terms, hence odd. Thus, (32) is indeed equal to 2 and not 4.

As before, we summarize (33), (34), and (35) as a single expression $p=1+A$ $\bmod 8 Q q^{2}$ for some appropriate $A$, and it is now fairly routine to finish the proof by using Dirichlet's Theorem.
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